## STATISTICS AND NUMERICAL METHODS

## QUESTION II NOVEMBER / DECEMBER 2010

## 1. What are the parameters and statistics in sampling?

Solution:
To avoid verbal confusion with the statistical constant of the population, namely mean $\mu$, variance $\sigma^{2}$ which are usually referred to as parameters. Statistical measures computed from sample observations alone. mean $(\bar{x})$, variance $\left(s^{2}\right)$, etc. are usually referred to as statistic.
2. Write any two applications of ${ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ' test.

## Solution:

' $\boldsymbol{\psi}^{\mathbf{2}}$ test is used to test whether differences between observed and expected frequencies or significances.
3. Compare One way classification modal with Two way classification modal.

Solution:

|  | One way | Two way |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | We cannot test two sets <br> of Hypothesis | Two sets of hypothesis <br> can tested. |
| 2 | Data are classified according <br> to one factor | Data are classified according <br> to two different factor. |

## 4. What is meant by Latin square?

Solution:
The $n$ treatments are then allocated at random to these rows and columns in such a way that every treatment occurs once and only once in each row and in each column. Such a layout is known as $n \times n$ Latin square design.
5. Write the convergence condition and order of convergence for Newton- Raphson -method.

## Solution:

The Criterion for convergence of Newton- Raphson -method is

$$
\left|f(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|<\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \text { in the interval considered. }
$$

The order of convergence of Newton- Raphson -method is 2 .
6. Compare Gauss Jacobi with Gauss Jordan.

Solution:

|  | Gauss - Jordan | Gauss - Jacobi method |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | It gives exact value | Convergence rate is slow |
| 2 | Simple, it takes less time | Indirect method |
| 3 | This method determines all the roots at <br> the same time. | This method determines only one root at <br> a time. |

## 7. Create a forward difference table for the following data and state the degree of polynomial for the same.

Solution:

| $x$ | $Y=f(x)$ | $\Delta y$ | $\Delta^{2} y$ | $\Delta^{3} y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | -1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |


| 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 3 | 5 |  |  |
| 3 | 8 |  |  |  |

## 8. Compare Simpson's $\frac{1}{3}$ rule with Trapezoidal method.

Solution:

|  | Simpson's Rule | Trapezoidal rule |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Must accurate | Least accurate |
| 2 | Interval of integration must be divided <br> into even number of subintervals | Can be divide into any number of <br> subintervals. |

9. Using Taylor series find $y(0.1)$ for $\frac{d y}{d x}=1-y, y(0)=0$.

Solution: Given $\frac{d y}{d x}=1-y \quad \& \quad y(0)=0 \Rightarrow x_{0}=0, y_{0}=0$
Taylor series formula is
$y(x)=y_{0}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)}{1!} y_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}{2!} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{3}}{3!} y_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{4}}{4!} y_{0}^{i v}+\cdots$

| $y^{\prime}=1-y$ | $y_{0}^{\prime}=1-0=1$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $y^{\prime \prime}=-y^{\prime}$ | $y_{0}^{\prime \prime}=-1$ |
| $y^{\prime \prime \prime}=-y^{\prime \prime}$ | $y_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}=-1$ |

Therefore equation (1) becomes,
$y(x)=y_{0}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)}{1!} y_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}{2!} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{3}}{3!} y_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}+$
$=1+\frac{(0.1-0)}{1}(1)+\frac{(0.1-0)^{2}}{2}(-1)+\frac{(0.1-0)^{3}}{6}$
$\therefore y(0.1)=0.0952$
10. Solve $y_{x+2}-4 y_{x}=0$.

Solution:
Given $\quad y_{x+2}-4 y_{x}=0$
i. $e_{\text {., }}\left(E^{2}-4\right) y_{x}=0$
$m^{2}-4=0$
$m= \pm 2$.
$y_{x}=A(2)^{x}+B(-2)^{x}$.

## Part - B

11. (a). (i). A machine puts out 16 imperfect articles in a sample of 500 . After the machine is overhauled, it puts out 3 imperfect articles in a batch of 100 . Has the machine been improved?

## Solution:

Given that $n_{1}=500, x_{1}=16$ and $n_{2}=100, x_{2}=3$
$p_{1}=$ Prop before service $p_{1}=\frac{x_{1}}{n_{1}}=\frac{16}{500}=0.032 \quad \&$

$$
q_{1}=1-p_{1}=1-0.03=0.968
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{2}=\text { Prop after service } p_{2}=\frac{x_{2}}{n_{2}}=\frac{3}{100}=0.032 \& \\
q_{2}=1-p_{1}=1-0.03=0.968
\end{gathered}
$$

## Null Hypothesis:

$H_{0}: P_{1}=P_{2} \quad$ i.e., $\quad$ the machine has not improved

## Alternative hypothesis:

$$
H_{1}: P_{1} \neq P_{2} \quad \text { i.e., }
$$

Here Population Proportion P is not known.
$\therefore \quad P=\frac{n_{1} p_{1}+n_{2} p_{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}}$
$P=\frac{500 * 0.032+100 * 0.03}{500+100}=0.03167$
$\therefore P=0.032$ and $Q=1-P=1-0.032=0.968$
The test statistic is given by $z=\frac{p_{1}-p_{2}}{\sqrt{P Q\left(\frac{1}{n_{1}}+\frac{1}{n_{2}}\right)}}$
$z=\frac{0.032-0.03}{\sqrt{[0.032 * 0.968]\left(\frac{1}{500}+\frac{1}{100}\right)}}=\frac{0.002}{\sqrt{[0.030976](0.012)}}=\frac{0.002}{0.0193}$
$z=0.1037$
At $5 \%$ level of significance, the table value for $z_{\alpha}=1.96$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid \text { calculated value } \mid & \leq \text { tabulated value } \Rightarrow \text { Accept } H_{0} \\
|0.1037| & \leq 1.645 \Rightarrow \text { Accept } H_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Conclusion: We accept the null hypothesis. That is the machine has improved after service.

11 (a). (ii). Examine whether the difference in the variability in yields is significant at $5 \%$ level of significance, for the following.

|  | Set of 40 plots | Set of 60 plots |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean yield per plot | 1256 | 1243 |
| S.D per plot | 34 | 28 |

Solution:
Given that $\overline{x_{1}}=1256, \overline{x_{2}}=1243, s_{1}=34, s_{2}=28, n_{1}=40$ and $n_{2}=60$
Null Hypothesis: $\quad H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$ i.e., there is no difference $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{w}$ two sets of yields.
Null Hypothesis: $\quad H_{0}: \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}$
The test statistic is given by

$$
z=\frac{\overline{x_{1}}-\overline{x_{2}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}}=\frac{1256-1243}{\sqrt{\frac{34^{2}}{40}+\frac{28^{2}}{60}}}=\frac{13}{\sqrt{41.966}}=2.00
$$

At $5 \%$ significance level the tabulated value for $Z_{\alpha}$ is 1.96.
$\mid$ Calculated value $\mid>$ Tabulated value

$$
|2.00|>1.96 \text { So we Reject } H_{0}
$$

## Conclusion:

$|z|>z_{\propto}$, we reject the Null Hypothesis. That is the two plots of yields differs significantly.
11. (b). (i). Test if the difference in the means is significantly for

| Sample I | 76 | 68 | 70 | 43 | 94 | 68 | 33 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample II | 40 | 48 | 92 | 85 | 70 | 76 | 68 | 22 |

Solution:

| $x_{i}$ | 76 | 68 | 70 | 43 | 94 | 68 | 33 |  | $\sum x=452$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{i}-\bar{x}$ | 11.4 | 3.4 | 5.4 | -21.6 | 29.4 | 3.4 | -31.6 |  |  |
| $\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}$ | 129.96 | 11.56 | 29.16 | 466.56 | 864.36 | 11.56 | 998.56 |  | $\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}$ <br> $=2511.72$ |
| $y_{i}$ | 40 | 48 | 92 | 85 | 70 | 76 | 68 | 22 | $\sum y=501$ |
| $y_{i}-\bar{y}$ | -22.6 | -14.6 | 29.4 | 22.4 | 7.4 | 13.4 | 5.4 | -40.6 |  |
| $\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}$ | 510.76 | 213.16 | 864.36 | 501.76 | 54.76 | 179.56 | 29.16 | 1648.36 | $\sum\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}$ <br> $=4001.88$ |

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum x_{i}}{n_{1}}=\frac{452}{7}=64.6 & \text { and } & \bar{y}=\frac{\sum y_{i}}{n_{2}}=\frac{501}{8}=62.6 \\
\sigma_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n_{1}-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{2511.72}{7-1}} & \text { and } & \sigma_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}{n_{2}-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{4001.88}{8-1}} \\
& \therefore \sigma_{1}=\sqrt{418.62}=20.46 & \text { and } & \sigma_{2}=\sqrt{571.69}=23.91 \\
\text { Hence } & n_{1}=7, \quad n_{2}=8, \quad \overline{x_{1}}=64.6, \quad \overline{x_{2}}=62.6 & \sigma_{1}=20.46, \sigma_{2}=8.266
\end{array}
$$

## Null Hypothesis $\quad: H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$

i.e., there is no significant difference between two samples. groups.

Alternative Hypothesis : $H_{1}: \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}$
The test statistic is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t=\frac{\overline{x_{1}}-\overline{x_{2}}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}\right)}} \text { with }\left(n_{1}+n_{2}-2\right) \text { degrees of freedom } \\
& t=\frac{64.6-62.6}{\sqrt{\frac{(20.46)^{2}}{7}+\frac{(8.266)^{2}}{8}}}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{68.342}}=0.242 \\
& |t|=0.242
\end{aligned}
$$

The table value for $t$ at $5 \%$ level of significance with $7+8-2=13$ degrees of freedom is 2.16 .
Calculated value $=0.242$ and Tabulated value $=2.16$

$$
\mid \text { Calculated value } \mid<\text { Tabulated value then Accept } H_{0}
$$

$$
|0.57|<1.76, \quad \text { we Accept } H_{0}
$$

## Conclusion:

$\left|t_{\alpha}\right|<t$, we Accept $H_{0}$. That is there is no significant difference between the two sample means.
11. (b). (ii) The following data gives the number of aircraft accidents that occurred during the various days of a week. Find whether the accidents are uniformly distributed over the week.

| Days | Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Accidents | 14 | 16 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 14 |

## Solution:

Null Hypothesis $\left(\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ :
The accidents are uniformly distributed over the week.
Alternative Null Hypothesis $\left(\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ : The accidents are not uniformly distributed over the week.
The test statistic is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(O_{i}-E_{i}\right)^{2}}{E_{i}} \quad \chi^{2} \text { distribution with }(n-1) \text { d. o. } f \\
& E_{i}=\frac{\text { total no.of abservations }}{n}=\frac{84}{7}=12 \quad N=84, \quad n=7 \\
& E_{i}=12
\end{aligned}
$$

| Day | Observed <br> freq | Expected <br> freq | $\left(O_{i}-E_{i}\right)$ | $\left(O_{i}-E_{i}\right)^{2}$ | $\frac{\left(O_{i}-E_{i}\right)^{2}}{E_{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sun | 14 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 0.333333 |
| Mon | 16 | 12 | 4 | 16 | 1.333333 |
| Tue | 8 | 12 | -4 | 16 | 1.333333 |
| Wed | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Thu | 11 | 12 | -1 | 1 | 0.083333 |
| Fri | 9 | 12 | -3 | 9 | 0.75 |
| Sat | 14 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 0.333333 |
|  | 84 | 84 |  |  | 4.166 |

$$
\chi^{2}=4.166
$$

Table value of $\chi_{0.05}^{2}$ with $n-1=7-1=6$ d.o.f is 12.59 .

## Conclusion:

Since $\chi^{2}<\chi_{0.05}^{2}$, we accept null hypothesis. That is the air accidents are uniformly distributed over the week.

12 (a). Carry out the ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) for the following:

| Workers |  | A | B | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 44 | 38 | 47 | 36 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 46 | 40 | 52 | 43 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 34 | 36 | 44 | 32 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 43 | 38 | 46 | 33 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 38 | 42 | 49 | 39 |

Solution: Let us take the null hypothesis that

1. The 5 workers do not differ with respect to mean productivity

$$
\text { i.e., } \quad H_{01}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=\mu_{4}=\mu_{5}
$$

2. The mean productivity is the same for the four different machines.

$$
\text { i.e., } \quad H_{02}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=\mu_{4}
$$

To simplify calculation let us subtract 40 from each value, the new values are

| Workers | Machine Type |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | Total |
|  | 1 | 4 | -2 | 7 | -4 | 5 |
|  | 2 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 21 |
|  | 3 | -6 | -4 | 4 | -8 | -14 |
|  | 4 | 3 | -2 | 6 | -7 | 0 |
|  | 5 | -2 | 2 | 9 | -1 | 8 |
|  | Total | 5 | -6 | 38 | -17 | 20 |

Correction factor $=C . F=\frac{G^{2}}{N}=\frac{(20)^{2}}{20}=20$
$S S T=$ Total sum of squares $=\sum_{i} \sum_{j} y_{i j}^{2}-C . F$
$=\left[(4)^{2}+(-2)^{2}+(7)^{2}+(-4)^{2}+(6)^{2}+(0)^{2}+(12)^{2}+(3)^{2}+(-6)^{2}+(-4)^{2}+(4)^{2}+(-8)^{2}+(3)^{2}+(-2)^{2}\right.$ $\left.+(6)^{2}+(-7)^{2}+(-2)^{2}+(2)^{2}+(9)^{2}+(-1)^{2}\right]-20$
$=574$

Between column sum of squares

$$
S S C+\frac{(21)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(-14)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(0)^{2}}{4}+\frac{(8)^{2}}{4}-20=181.5-20=161.5
$$

Between Row sum of squares

$$
S S R+\frac{(-6)^{2}}{5}+\frac{(38)^{2}}{5}+\frac{(-17)^{2}}{5}-20=358.8-20=338.8
$$

Error sum of squares

$$
S S C=S S T-S S C-S S R=574-161.5-338.8=73.7
$$

| ANOVA table for two-way classification |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source of <br> variation | Degrees of <br> freedom | Sum of squares <br> (SS) | Mean sum of squares (MS) | F-Ratio | Table Value |
| B/w <br> Column | $5-1=4$ | $S S C=161.5$ | $M S C=\frac{161.5}{4}=40.375$ | $F_{1}=\frac{40.375}{6.14}$ <br> $=6.576$ | $F_{0.05}(4,12)$ <br> $=3.26$ |
| B/w Row | $4-1=3$ | $S S R=338.8$ | $M S R=\frac{338.8}{3}=112.93$ | $F_{2}=\frac{112.93}{6.14}$ <br> $=18.393$ | $F_{0.05}(4,12)$ <br> $=3.26$ |
| Error | $4 * 3=12$ | $S S E=73.7$ | $M S R \frac{73.7}{12}=6.14$ |  |  |

## Conclusion:

1. $F_{1}>F_{0.05}(4,12)$. Hence $H_{01}$ is accepted. That is the 5 workers differ respect to mean productivity.
2. $\quad F_{2}>F_{0.05}(3,12)$. Hence $H_{02}$ is rejected. That is the mean productivity is not the same for the four machines.
3. (b) Perform Latin square experiment for the following:

Roam I II III $\rightarrow \quad$ Three equally spaced concentrations of poison as extracted from the scorpion

Arabic $1233 \rightarrow$ Three equally spaced body weights for the animals tested.
Latin A B C $\rightarrow$ Three equally spaced times of storage of the poison before it is administered to the animals.

|  | I | II | III |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.194 | 0.73 | 1.187 |
|  | A | B | C |
| 2 | 0.758 | 0.311 | 0.589 |
|  | C | A | B |
| 3 | 0.369 | 0.558 | 0.311 |
|  | B | C | A |

## Solution:

Null hypothesis: There is no significant difference between rows, columns and between the treatments.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.194 | 0.73 | 1.187 | 1.485 |
| 2 | 0.758 | 0.311 | 0.589 | 0.916 |
| 3 | 0.369 | 0.558 | 0.311 | 0.511 |
| Total | 1.321 | 1.599 | 2.087 | 5.007 |

$$
\begin{gathered}
G=5.007 \text { and } N=9 \\
\text { Correction factor }=\frac{G^{2}}{N}=\frac{(5.007)^{2}}{9}=2.79 \\
\text { Total sum of squares }==\left[\begin{array}{c}
0.194^{2}+0.73^{2}+1.187^{2}+0.758^{2}+0.311^{2} \\
+0.589^{2}+0.369^{2}+0.558^{2}+0.311^{2}
\end{array}\right]-C . F \\
\text { SST }=3.542-2.79=0.752
\end{gathered}
$$

## Between Column sum of squares

$$
\begin{gathered}
S S C=\left[\frac{\left(\sum x_{1}\right)^{2}}{n}+\frac{\left(\sum x_{2}\right)^{2}}{n}+\frac{\left(\sum x_{3}\right)^{2}}{n}\right]-C . F \\
S S C=\left[\frac{(1.321)^{2}}{3}+\frac{(1.599)^{2}}{3}+\frac{(2.087)^{2}}{3}\right]-2.79=2.866-2.79 \\
S S C=0.096
\end{gathered}
$$

## Between Row sum of squares

$$
\begin{gathered}
S S R=\left[\frac{\left(\sum y_{1}\right)^{2}}{n}+\frac{\left(\sum y_{2}\right)^{2}}{n}+\frac{\left(\sum y_{3}\right)^{2}}{n}\right]-C . F \\
S S R=\left[\frac{(2.111)^{2}}{3}+\frac{(1.658)^{2}}{3}+\frac{(1.238)^{2}}{3}\right]-2.79=2.912-2.79 \\
S S R=0.122
\end{gathered}
$$

## Treatment sum of squares SSK

Treatment total $\quad A=0.194+0.311+0.311=0.816$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=0.73+0.589+0.369=1.688 \\
& C=1.187+0.758+0.558=2.503 \\
& S S K=\left[\frac{A^{2}}{n}+\frac{B^{2}}{n}+\frac{C^{2}}{n}\right]-C . F=\left[\frac{(0.816)^{2}}{3}+\frac{(1.688)^{2}}{3}+\frac{(2.503)^{2}}{3}\right]-2.79 \\
& \quad S S K=0.47
\end{aligned}
$$

## Error sum of squares

$$
\begin{gathered}
S S E=S S T-S S R-S S C=0.752-0.122-0.096+0.47=0.064 \\
S S E=0.064
\end{gathered}
$$

Degrees of freedom: $v_{1}=v_{2}=v_{3}=n-1=3-1=2, v_{4}=(n-1)(n-2)=2$

| ANOVA table for three-way classification |  |  |  |  | Table Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source of variation | D.o.f | Sum of squares (SS) | Mean sum of squares (MS) | F-Ratio |  |
| B/W Column | 2 | SSC=0.096 | $M S C=\frac{S S C}{v_{1}}=0.048$ | $F_{1}=\frac{M S E}{M S C}=1.5$ | $\begin{aligned} & F(2,2) \\ & =19.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| B/W Row | 2 | SSR=0.122 | $M S R=\frac{S S R}{v_{2}}=0.061$ | $F_{2}=\frac{M S R}{M S E}=1.906$ | $\begin{aligned} & F(2,2) \\ & =19.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B} / \mathrm{w} \\ \text { Treatment } \end{gathered}$ | 2 | SSK=0.47 | $M S K=\frac{S S E}{v_{3}}=0.235$ | $F_{3}=\frac{M S K}{M S E}=7.34$ | $\begin{aligned} & F(2,2) \\ & =19.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Error | 2 | SSE $=0.064$ | $M S E=\frac{S S E}{v_{3}}=0.032$ |  |  |

Conclusion:

Accept $H_{0}$. That is there is no difference between Row, Column and Treatments.
13. (a). (i). Find the inverse of $A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 & -4\end{array}\right]$

## Solution:

While we find the inverse of the matrix $A$, the diagonal elements should not be zero. If it is zero, then we rearrange the given matrix in correct form.

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
3 & -1 & -4
\end{array}\right]
$$

We know that $[A, I]=\left[I, A^{-1}\right]$
Now, $[A, I]=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}1 & 2 & 0 & \vdots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \vdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 & -4 & \vdots & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$

Now, we need to make [A.I] as a diagonal matrix.
Fix the first row, change second and third row by using first row.

$$
[A, I] \sim\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 2 & 0 & \vdots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & \vdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -7 & -4 & \vdots & -3 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad R_{3} \Leftrightarrow R_{3}-3 R_{1}
$$

Fix the first row \& second row, change third row by using second row.

$$
[A, I] \sim\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 2 & 0 & \vdots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & \vdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3 & \vdots & -3 & 7 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad R_{3} \Leftrightarrow R_{3}+7 R_{2}
$$

Fix the third row, change first and second row by using third row.

$$
[A, I] \sim\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 2 & 0 & \vdots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -3 & 0 & \vdots & -3 & 4 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 3 & \vdots & -3 & 7 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad R_{2} \Leftrightarrow R_{3}-3 R_{2}
$$

Fix the second \& third row, change first by using second row.

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[A, I] \sim\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
-3 & 0 & 0 & \vdots & 3 & -8 & -2 \\
0 & -3 & 0 & \vdots & -3 & 4 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 3 & \vdots & -3 & 7 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad R_{1} \Leftrightarrow-3 R_{1}-2 R_{2}} \\
{[A, I] \sim\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & \vdots & \frac{3}{-3} & -\frac{8}{-3} & -\frac{2}{-3} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \vdots & -\frac{3}{-3} & \frac{4}{-3} & \frac{1}{-3} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \vdots & -\frac{3}{3} & \frac{7}{3} & \frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{c} 
\\
R_{1} \Leftrightarrow R_{1} /-3 \\
R_{2} \Leftrightarrow R_{2} /-3 \\
R_{3} \Leftrightarrow R_{3} / 3
\end{array}} \\
A^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 8 / 3 & 2 / 3 \\
1 & -4 / 3 & -1 / 3 \\
-1 & 7 / 3 & 1 / 3
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

13. (a). (ii). Solve by Gauss Siedal method

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6 x+3 y+12 z=35,8 x-3 y+2 z=20,4 x+11 y-z=33 \\
& \text { Solution: } \\
& 6 x+3 y+12 z=35 \\
& 8 x-3 y+2 z=20 \\
& 4 x+11 y-z=33
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the diagonal elements are not dominant in the coefficient matrix, we rewrite the given equation as follows as follows

$$
\begin{array}{r}
8 x-3 y+2 z=20 \\
4 x+11 y-z=33 \\
6 x+3 y+12 z=35
\end{array}
$$

From the above equation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{1}{8}(20+3 y-2 z) \\
& y=\frac{1}{11}(33-4 x+z) \\
& z=\frac{1}{12}(35-6 x-3 y)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gauss Siedal Method:

We form the Iterations in the table

| Iteration | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 1 | 2.5 | 2.09 | 1.14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2.99 | 2.05 | 0.909 |
| 3 | 3.04 | 1.98 | 0.90 |
| 4 | 3.02 | 1.98 | 0.91 |
| 5 | 3.02 | 1.98 | 0.91 |
| 6 | 3.02 | 1.98 | 0.91 |

Hence the solution is $\boldsymbol{x}=\mathbf{3 . 0 2}, \boldsymbol{y}=1.98$ and $z=0.91$.
13. (b). (i) Using Gauss Jordan method, solve the following system
$10 x+y+z=12, \quad 2 x+10 y+z=13, \quad x+y+5 z=7$.
Solution: Let the given system of equations be $10 x+y+z=12$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 x+10 y+z=13 \\
& x+y+5 z=7
\end{aligned}
$$

The given system is equivalent to $A X=B$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
10 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & 10 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 5
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
12 \\
13 \\
7
\end{array}\right]
$$

Here $[A, B]=[\quad]$
Now, we need to make $A$ as a diagonal matrix.
Fix the first row, change second and third row by using first row.

$$
[A, B] \sim\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
10 & 1 & 1 & 12 \\
0 & 98 & 8 & 106 \\
0 & 9 & 49 & 58
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{array}{r}
R_{2} \Leftrightarrow 10 R_{2}-2 R_{1} \\
R_{3} \Leftrightarrow 10 R_{3}-R_{1}
\end{array}
$$

Fix the first \& second row, change the third row by using second row.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[A, B] \sim\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
10 & 1 & 1 & 12 \\
0 & 98 & 8 & 106 \\
0 & 0 & 4730 & 4730
\end{array}\right] \quad R_{3} \Leftrightarrow 98 R_{3}-9 R_{2}} \\
& {[A, B] \sim\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
10 & 1 & 1 & 12 \\
0 & 98 & 8 & 106 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad R_{3} \Leftrightarrow R_{3} / 4730}
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix the third row, change first and second row by using third row.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
{[A, B] \sim\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-10 & -1 & 0 & -11 \\
0 & 98 & 0 & 98 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
R_{1} \Leftrightarrow R_{1}-R_{3} \\
R_{2} \Leftrightarrow R_{2}-8 R_{3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-10 & -1 & 0 & -11 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{gathered}
\\
R_{2} \Leftrightarrow R_{2} / 98
\end{gathered}
$$

Fix the second \& third row, change first by using second row.

$$
[A, B] \sim\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-10 & 0 & 0 & -10 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad R_{1} \Leftrightarrow R_{1}+R_{2}
$$

Which is a diagonal matrix, from the matrix, we have

$$
\Rightarrow x=1, y=1, z=1
$$

13. (b) (ii). Find all the Eigen value of $A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3\end{array}\right]$ using power method.

Using $x_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]^{T}$ as initial vector.

Solution: Let $X_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$ be the initial vector.

Therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
A X_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 6 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=1\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=1 X_{2} \\
A X_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 6 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
7 \\
3 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=7\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.4286 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=7 X_{3} \\
A X_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 6 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.4286 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
3.574 \\
1.8572 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=3.574\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.52 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=3.574 X_{4} \\
A X_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 6 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.52 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
4.12 \\
2.04 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=4.12\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.4951 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=4.12 X_{5} \\
A X_{5}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 6 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.4951 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
3.9706 \\
1.9902 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=3.9706\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.5012 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=3.9706 X_{6} \\
A X_{6}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 6 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.5012 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
4.0072 \\
2.0024 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=4.0072\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.4997 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=4.0072 X_{7} \\
A X_{7}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 6 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.4997 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
3.9982 \\
1.9994 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=3.9982\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.5000 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=3.9982 X_{8} \\
A X_{8}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 6 \\
1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 3
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.50 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
4 \\
2 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=4\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0.5000 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=4 X_{9}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\therefore$ The dominant Eigen value $=4$.
Corresponding Eigen vector is $\left[\begin{array}{c}1 \\ 0.5 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$.
14. (a). (i). Taking $h=\pi / 10$, evaluate $\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin x d x$ by Simpson's $\frac{1}{3}$ rule. Verify the answer with integration.

Solution:
Here $y(x)=\sin x, \quad h=\frac{\pi}{10}$. We form a table

| $x:$ | 0 | $\frac{\pi}{10}$ | $\frac{2 \pi}{10}$ | $\frac{3 \pi}{10}$ | $\frac{4 \pi}{10}$ | $\frac{5 \pi}{10}$ | $\frac{6 \pi}{10}$ | $\frac{7 \pi}{10}$ | $\frac{8 \pi}{10}$ | $\frac{9 \pi}{10}$ | $\pi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y:$ | 0 | 0.3090 | 0.5878 | 0.8090 | 0.9511 | 1.0 | 0.9511 | 0.8090 | 0.5878 | 0.3090 | 0 |

## Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin x d x=\left(\frac{h}{3}\right)\left[\left(y_{0}+y_{10}\right)+4\left(y_{1}+y_{3}+y_{5}+y_{7}+y_{9}\right)+2\left(y_{2}+y_{4}+y_{6}+y_{8}\right)\right] \\
& \quad=\frac{\left(\frac{\pi}{10}\right)}{3}[(0+0)+2(0.5878+0.9511+0.9511+0.5878)+4(0.3090+0.8090+1+0.8090+0.3090)]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\frac{\pi}{30}[19.0996]
$$

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin x d x=2.0091
$$

## By Actual Integration:

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin x d x=[-\cos x]_{0}^{\pi}=-[\cos \pi-\cos 0]=-[-1-1]=2
$$

14. (a). (ii). Use Lagrange's formula to fit a polynomial to the following data hence find $y(x=1)$.

$$
\begin{array}{llllc}
x: & -1 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\
y: & -8 & 3 & 1 & 12
\end{array}
$$

## Solution:

| $x:$ | -1 | $x_{0}$ | 0 | $x_{1}$ | 2 | $x_{2}$ | 3 | $x_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y:$ | -8 | $y_{0}$ | 3 | $y_{1}$ | 1 | $y_{2}$ | 12 | $y_{3}$ |

Lagrange's interpolation formula, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y=f(x)= & \frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right)\left(x-x_{4}\right)}{\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{4}\right)} y_{0}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right)\left(x-x_{4}\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{4}\right)} y_{1} \\
& \quad+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right)\left(x-x_{4}\right)}{\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{4}\right)} y_{2}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{4}\right)}{\left(x_{3}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{3}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{3}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{3}-x_{4}\right)} y_{3} \\
f(x)= & \frac{(x-0)(x-2)(x-3)}{(-1-0)(-1-2)(-1-3)}(-8)+\frac{(x+1)(x-2)(x-3)}{(0+1)(0-2)(0-3)}(3)+\frac{(x+1)(x-0)(x-3)}{(2+1)(2-0)(2-3)} 1 \\
& \quad+\frac{(x+1)(x-0)(x-2)}{(3+1)(3-0)(3-2)}(12) \\
f(x)= & \left.\frac{x(x-2)(x-3)}{-12}(-8)+\frac{(x+1)(x-2)(x-3)}{6}(3)+\frac{x(x+1)(x-3)}{-6}+\frac{x(x+1)(x-2)}{3}\right)\left[\left(x^{2}-2 x\right)(x-3)\right]+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[\left(x^{2}-x-2\right)(x-3)\right]-\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\left[\left(x^{2}+x\right)(x-3)\right]+\left[\left(x^{2}+x\right)(x-2)\right]  \tag{12}\\
& \quad=\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\left[x^{3}-5 x^{2}+6 x\right]+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[x^{3}-4 x^{2}+x+6\right]-\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\left[x^{3}-2 x^{2}-3 x\right]+\left[x^{3}-x^{2}-2 x\right] \\
= & x^{3}\left[\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{6}+1\right]+x^{2}\left[\frac{2}{3}(-5)+\frac{1}{2}(-4)-\frac{1}{6}(-2)-1\right]+x\left[\frac{2}{3}(6)+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{6}(3)-2\right]+\left[\frac{1}{6}(6)\right] \\
= & x^{3}\left[\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{6}+1\right]+x^{2}\left[\frac{2}{3}(-5)+\frac{1}{2}(-4)-\frac{1}{6}(-2)-1\right]+x\left[\frac{2}{3}(6)+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{6}(3)-2\right]+\left[\frac{1}{6}(6)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

14. (b) (ii). Evaluate $\int_{0}^{6} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x$ using Trapezoidal rule. Verify the answer with direct integration.

## Solution:

Here $y(x)=\frac{1}{1+x^{2}} . \quad$ Range $=b-a=6-0=6$
So we divide 6 equal intervals with $h=\frac{6}{6}=1$.
We form a table

| $x:$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $y=\frac{1}{1+x^{2}}:$ | 1 | 0.500 | 0.200 | 0.100 | 0.058824 | 0.038462 | 0.27027 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Trapezoidal rule

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{6} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x & =\frac{h}{2}\left[\left(y_{0}+y_{6}\right)+2\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}+y_{4}+y_{5}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}[(1+0.027027)+2(0.5+0.2+0.1+0.058824+0.038462)] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}[2.821599] \\
\int_{0}^{6} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x & =1.4107995
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Actual Integration:

$$
\int_{0}^{6} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x=\left[\tan ^{-1} x\right]_{0}^{6}=\tan ^{-1} 6-\tan ^{-1} 0=1.40564765
$$

14. (b). (ii) Find $y$ (1976) from the following

| $\mathrm{X}:$ | 1941 | 1951 | 1961 | 1971 | 1981 | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Y}:$ | 20 | 24 | 29 | 36 | 46 | 51 |

Solution: We form the difference table

| x | $y$ | $\Delta y$ | $\Delta^{2} y$ | $\Delta^{3} y$ | $\Delta^{4} y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1941 | 20 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 1951 | 24 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1961 | 29 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 1971 | 36 | 10 | 5 | 2 |  |
| 1981 | 46 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 51 |  |  |  |  |

The Newton's backward formula is

The Newton's backward interpolation formula is

$$
y(x)=y\left(x_{n}+p h\right)=y_{n}+\frac{V}{1!} \nabla y_{n}+\frac{V(V+1)}{2!} \nabla^{2} y_{n}+\frac{V(V+1)(V+2)}{3!} \nabla^{3} y_{n}+\cdots \ldots \ldots
$$

where $u=\frac{x-x_{n}}{h}$. Here $x_{n}=1991 \& h=10, x=1976$

Let $\quad u=\frac{x-x_{n}}{h}=\frac{1976-1991}{10}=-1.5$
$y(1976)=51+\frac{(-1.5)}{1!}(5)+\frac{(-1.5)[-1.5+1]}{2!}(5)+\frac{(-1.5)[(-1.5)+1][-1.5+2]}{3!}$

$$
=51-7.5+1.875+0.125
$$

$y(1976)=45.5$
15. (a) (i). Use Modified Euler's method, with $h=0.1$ to find the solution of $y^{\prime}=x^{2}+y^{2}$ with $y(0)=0$ in $0 \leq x \leq 5$.

## Solution:

Given $y^{\prime}=x^{2}+y^{2}, \quad y(0)=0, x_{0}=0, y_{0}=0, \quad h=0.1$.
The Modified Euler's formula is

$$
y_{n+1}\left(x_{n}+h\right)=y_{n}+h f\left(x_{n}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{n}+\frac{h}{2} f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right), \quad n=0,1,2 \ldots
$$

To find $\boldsymbol{y}(\mathbf{0 . 1})$ :
Put $n=0$, equation (1) becomes

$$
y_{1}\left(x_{0}+h\right)=y_{0}+h f\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{h}{2} f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right)
$$

We have $x_{0}=0, y_{0}=1, h=0.1 \& f(x, y)=x^{2}+y^{2}$
$\therefore \quad y_{1}(0+0.1)=1+(0.1) f\left(0+\frac{0.1}{2}, 1+\frac{0.1}{2} f(0,1)\right)$
$y_{1}(0.1)=1+(0.1) f\left(0.05,1+0.05\left[0^{2}+1^{2}\right]\right)$
$=1+(0.1) f(0.05,1+0.05[1])$
$=1+(0.1) f(0.05,1.05)$
$=1+(0.1)\left[(0.05)^{2}+(1.05)^{2}\right]$
$=1+(0.1)[1.105]$
$=1+0.1105$

$$
y_{1}(0.1)=1.1105
$$

$$
y_{1}(0.1)=1.1105 \quad\left[y\left(x_{1}\right)=y_{1}\right] \quad \Rightarrow x_{1}=0.1 \& y_{1}=1.1105
$$

15. (a). (ii). Using Milne's method, obtain the solution of $\frac{d y}{d x}=x-y^{2}$ at $x=0.8$, given

$$
y(0)=0, y(0.2)=0.02, y(0.4)=0.0795, y(0.6)=0.1762
$$

Solution: Given $y^{\prime}=x-y^{2}$

| $y(0)=1$ | $y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$ | $x_{0}=0$ | $y_{0}=0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y(0.2)=0.02$ | $y\left(x_{1}\right)=y_{1}$ | $x_{1}=0.2$ | $y_{1}=0.02$ |


| $y(0.4)=0.0795$ | $y\left(x_{2}\right)=y_{2}$ | $x_{2}=0.4$ | $y_{2}=0.0795$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y(0.6)=0.1762$ | $y\left(x_{3}\right)=y_{3}$ | $x_{3}=0.6$ | $y_{3}=0.1762$ |

Here $h=0.2$ and $n=3$ [Highest value of $x$ is $x_{3} . \quad \therefore n=3$ ]

## The Milne's Predictor formula is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1, P}\left(x_{n}+h\right)=y_{n-3}+\frac{4 h}{3}\left[2 y_{n-2}^{\prime}-y_{n-1}^{\prime}+2 y_{n}^{\prime}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## To Find $y(0.8)$ :

Put $\mathrm{n}=3$ in equation (1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{4, P}\left(x_{3}+h\right)=y_{0}+\frac{4 h}{3}\left[2 y_{1}^{\prime}-y_{2}^{\prime}+2 y_{3}^{\prime}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $\quad y^{\prime}=x-y^{2}$

| $x_{1}=0.2$ | $y_{1}=0.02$ | $y_{1}^{\prime}=x_{1}-y_{1}^{2}$ | $y_{1}^{\prime}=(0.2)-(0.02)^{2}$ | $y_{1}^{\prime}=0.1996$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{2}=0.4$ | $y_{2}=0.0795$ | $y_{2}^{\prime}=x_{2}-y_{2}^{2}$ | $y_{2}^{\prime}=(0.4)-(0.0795)^{2}$ | $y_{2}^{\prime}=0.3937$ |
| $x_{3}=0.6$ | $y_{3}=0.1762$ | $y_{3}^{\prime}=x_{3}-y_{3}^{2}$ | $y_{3}^{\prime}=(0.6)-(0.1762)^{2}$ | $y_{3}^{\prime}=0.5690$ |

## Equation (2) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{4, P}\left(x_{3}+h\right) & =y_{0}+\frac{4 h}{3}\left[2 y_{1}^{\prime}-y_{2}^{\prime}+2 y_{3}^{\prime}\right] \\
y_{4, P}(0.6+0.2) & =0+\frac{4(0.2)}{3}[2(0.1996)-(0.3937)+2(0.5690)] \\
y_{4, P}(0.8) & =\frac{0.8}{3}[1.1435] \\
\boldsymbol{y}_{4, P}(\mathbf{0 . 8}) & =\mathbf{0 . 3 0 4 9} \quad\left[\boldsymbol{y}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{4}\right)=\boldsymbol{y}_{4}, \quad \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{4}}=\mathbf{0 . 8} \quad \& \quad \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathbf{4}}=\mathbf{0 . 3 0 4 9}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The Milne's Corrector formula is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1, C}\left(x_{n}+h\right)=y_{n-1}+\frac{h}{3}\left[y_{n-1}^{\prime}+4 y_{n}^{\prime}+y_{n+1}^{\prime}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $n=3$ in equation (3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{4, C}\left(x_{3}+h\right)=y_{2}+\frac{h}{3}\left[y_{2}^{\prime}+4 y_{3}^{\prime}+y_{4}^{\prime}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

| $x_{4}=0.8$ | $y_{4}=0.3049$ | $y_{4}^{\prime}=x_{4}-y_{4}^{2}$ | $y_{4}^{\prime}=0.8-(0.3049)^{2}$ | $y_{4}^{\prime}=0.707$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Equation (4) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{4, C}(0.6+0.2) & =0.0795+\frac{(0.2)}{3}[0.3937+4(0.5690)+0.707] \\
y_{4, C}(0.8) & =0.07957+\frac{(0.2)}{3}[3.376] \\
\boldsymbol{y}_{4, C}(\mathbf{0 . 8}) & =\mathbf{0 . 3 0 4 6} \quad\left[\boldsymbol{y}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{4}}\right)=\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathbf{4}}, \quad \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{4}}=\mathbf{0 . 8} \& \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathbf{4}}=\mathbf{0 . 3 0 4 6}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

To Find (1.0) :
Put $n=3$ in equation (1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{4, P}\left(x_{3}+h\right)=y_{0}+\frac{4 h}{3}\left[2 y_{1}^{\prime}-y_{2}^{\prime}+2 y_{3}^{\prime}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $\quad y^{\prime}=x-y^{2}$

| $x_{1}=0.2$ | $y_{1}=0.02$ | $y_{1}^{\prime}=x_{1}-y_{1}^{2}$ | $y_{1}^{\prime}=(0.2)-(0.02)^{2}$ | $y_{1}^{\prime}=0.1996$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{2}=0.4$ | $y_{2}=0.0795$ | $y_{2}^{\prime}=x_{2}-y_{2}^{2}$ | $y_{2}^{\prime}=(0.4)-(0.0795)^{2}$ | $y_{2}^{\prime}=0.3937$ |
| $x_{3}=0.6$ | $y_{3}=0.1762$ | $y_{3}^{\prime}=x_{3}-y_{3}^{2}$ | $y_{3}^{\prime}=(0.6)-(0.1762)^{2}$ | $y_{3}^{\prime}=0.5690$ |

## Equation (2) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{4, P}\left(x_{3}+h\right) & =y_{0}+\frac{4 h}{3}\left[2 y_{1}^{\prime}-y_{2}^{\prime}+2 y_{3}^{\prime}\right] \\
y_{4, P}(0.6+0.2) & =0+\frac{4(0.2)}{3}[2(0.1996)-(0.3937)+2(0.5690)] \\
y_{4, P}(0.8) & =\frac{0.8}{3}[1.1435] \\
\boldsymbol{y}_{4, P}(\mathbf{0 . 8}) & =\mathbf{0 . 3 0 4 9} \quad\left[\boldsymbol{y}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{4}}\right)=\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathbf{4}}, \quad \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{4}}=\mathbf{0 . 8} \quad \& \quad \boldsymbol{y}_{\mathbf{4}}=\mathbf{0} .3049\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The Milne's Corrector formula is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1, C}\left(x_{n}+h\right)=y_{n-1}+\frac{h}{3}\left[y_{n-1}^{\prime}+4 y_{n}^{\prime}+y_{n+1}^{\prime}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{3}$ in equation (3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{4, C}\left(x_{3}+h\right)=y_{2}+\frac{h}{3}\left[y_{2}^{\prime}+4 y_{3}^{\prime}+y_{4}^{\prime}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{l|l|l|l|l}
\hline x_{4}=0.8 & y_{4}=0.3049 & y_{4}^{\prime}=x_{4}-y_{4}^{2} & y_{4}^{\prime}=0.8-(0.3049)^{2} & y_{4}^{\prime}=0.707
\end{array}
$$

## Equation (4) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{4, C}(0.6+0.2) & =0.0795+\frac{(0.2)}{3}[0.3937+4(0.5690)+0.707] \\
y_{4, C}(0.8) & =0.07957+\frac{(0.2)}{3}[3.376] \\
\boldsymbol{y}_{4, C}(\mathbf{0 . 8}) & =\mathbf{0 . 3 0 4 6} \quad\left[\boldsymbol{y}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{4}\right)=\boldsymbol{y}_{4}, \quad \boldsymbol{x}_{4}=\mathbf{0 . 8} \& \boldsymbol{y}_{4}=\mathbf{0 . 3 0 4 6}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Result:

$$
y_{4, P}(0.8)=0.3049 \quad \& \quad y_{4, C}(0.8)=0.3046
$$

15. (b). (i). Use R.K method fourth order to the $y(0.2)$ if $\frac{d y}{d x}=x+y^{2}, y(0)=1, h=0.1$.

## Solution:

Given $\frac{d y}{d x}=x^{2}+y^{2}=f(x, y), y(0)=1 \quad \Rightarrow x_{0}=0, y_{0}=1, h=0.1$
The algorithm for fourth order R-K method is

To find $\boldsymbol{y}(\mathbf{0 . 1}): \quad\left[\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}=0.0, \boldsymbol{y}_{0}=\mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{h}=\mathbf{0} .1, \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=x^{2}+y^{2}\right]$

$$
k_{1}=h f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=0.1 f(0,1)=0.1\left[(0)^{2}+(1)^{2}\right]=0.1[1]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{1}}= & \mathbf{0} . \mathbf{1} \\
& k_{2}=h f\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{k_{1}}{2}\right)=0.1 f\left(0+\frac{0.1}{2}, 1+\frac{0.1}{2}\right)=0.1 f(0.05,1.05) \\
& k_{2}=0.1\left[(0.05)^{2}+(1.05)^{2}\right]=0.11525 \\
\boldsymbol{k}_{2}= & \mathbf{0 . 1 1 5 2 5} \\
& k_{3}=h f\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{k_{2}}{2}\right)=0.1 f\left(0+\frac{0.1}{2}, 1+\frac{0.111525}{2}\right)=0.2 f(0.05,1.057625) \\
k_{3}= & 0.1\left[(0.05)^{2}+(1.057625)^{2}\right]=0.116857
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
k_{3}=0.116857
$$

$$
k_{4}=h f\left(x_{0}+h, y_{0}+k_{3}\right)=0.1 f(0+0.1, \quad 1+0.116857)=0.1 f(0.1,1.116857)
$$

$$
k_{4}=0.1\left[(0.1)^{2}+(1.116857)^{2}\right]=0.1347
$$

$$
k_{4}=0.1347
$$

$$
\Delta y=\frac{1}{6}\left(k_{1}+2 k_{2}+2 k_{3}+k_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{6}(0.1+2(0.11525)+2(0.116857)+0.1347) \Delta \boldsymbol{y}=\mathbf{0 . 1 1 6 4 9}
$$

$$
y\left(x_{0}+h\right)=y\left(x_{0}\right)+\Delta y=y_{0}+\Delta y \quad \Rightarrow \quad y(0.0+0.2)=1+0.11649=1.11649
$$

$y(0.1)=1.1165 \quad\left[y\left(x_{1}\right)=y_{1}\right]$
To find $y(0.2): \quad\left[x_{1}=0.1, y_{1}=1.1165, h=0.1, f(x, y)=x^{2}+y^{2}\right]$
$k_{1}=h f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=0.1 f(0.1,1.1165)=0.1\left[(0.1)^{2}+(1.1165)^{2}\right]$
$k_{1}=0.1347$
$k_{2}=h f\left(x_{1}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{1}+\frac{k_{1}}{2}\right)=0.1 f\left(0.1+\frac{0.1}{2}, 1.1165+\frac{0.1347}{2}\right)=0.1 f(0.15,1.18385)$
$k_{2}=0.1\left[(0.15)^{2}+(1.18385)^{2}\right]=0.1552$
$\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{0} .1552$
$k_{3}=h f\left(x_{1}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{1}+\frac{k_{2}}{2}\right)=0.1 f\left(0.1+\frac{0.1}{2}, 0.1165+\frac{0.1552}{2}\right)=0.1 f(0.15,1.1941)$
$k_{3}=0.1\left[(0.15)^{2}+(1.1941)^{2}\right]=0.1576$
$\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{3}}=0.1576$
$k_{4}=h f\left(x_{1}+h, y_{1}+k_{3}\right)=0.1 f(0.1+0.1,1.1165+0.1576)=0.1 f(0.2,1.2741)$
$k_{4}=0.2\left[(0.2)^{2}+(1.2741)^{2}\right]=0.1823$
$k_{4}=0.1843$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta y=\frac{1}{6}\left(k_{1}+2 k_{2}+2 k_{3}+k_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{6}(0.1347+2(0.1552)+2(0.1576)+0.1843) \Delta \boldsymbol{y}=\mathbf{0 . 1 5 7 1} \\
& y\left(x_{1}+h\right)=y\left(x_{1}\right)+\Delta y \quad \Rightarrow y(0.1+0.1)=y(0.1)+0.1571=1.1165+0.1571
\end{aligned}
$$

$y(0.2)=1.2736$
15. (b). (ii) Solve $u_{n+2}-4 u_{n+1}+4 u_{n}=2^{n}$.

## Solution:

The given difference equation is

$$
\left[E^{2}-4 E+4\right] y_{n}=2^{n}
$$

The auxiliary equation is $m^{2}-4 m+4=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i.e., }(m-2)^{2}=0 \\
& \text { i.e., } m=2,2 \\
& \text { C.F }=(A x+B) 2^{n} \\
& \text { Particulur Integral P. } I=\frac{1}{(E-2)^{2}} 2^{n} \\
& =\frac{n(n-1)}{2!} \cdot 2^{n-2} \\
& =n(n-1) 2^{n-3} \\
& U=(A x+B) 2^{n}+n(n-1) 2^{n-3} \mathrm{~s}
\end{aligned}
$$

