

STATISTICS AND NUMERICAL METHODS

QUESTION I APRIL / MAY 2010

1. Mention various steps involved in testing of hypothesis.

Solution:

- (i). Set up the null hypothesis.
- (ii). Choose the appropriate level of significance (e.g 5% or 1% or 10%)
- (iii). Calculate the test statistic $z = \frac{t-E(t)}{s E(t)}$.
- (iv). Draw conclusion. If $|Calc\ value| < Table\ vale$ then Accept H_0 .
If $|Calc\ value| > Table\ vale$ then Reject H_0 .

2. Define Chi-square test for goodness of fit.

Solution:

Karl Pearson developed a test for testing the significance of similarity between experimental values and the theoretical values obtained under some theory or hypothesis. This test is known as ψ^2 test of goodness of fit. Karl Pearson proved that the statistic

$$\psi^2 = \sum \frac{(O - E)^2}{E}, \text{ where } O - \text{Observed frequency, } E - \text{Expected frequency}$$

ψ^2 is used to test whether differences between observed and expected frequencies are significant.

3. Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of randomized block design.

Solution:

- a. Evaluation and comparison of basic design of configurations.
 - b. Evaluation of material alternatives.
 - c. Determination of key product design parameters that affect performance.
- Also it uses to improve manufacturing a product, field performance, reliability and lower product cost, etc.

4. State the advantages of a factorial experiment over a simple experiment.

Solution:

Factorial designs are frequently used in experiments involving several factors where it is necessary to the joint effect of the factors on a response.

5. Using Newton – Raphson method, find the iteration formula to compute \sqrt{N} .

Solution:

$$f(x) = x^2 - N \text{ and } f'(x) = 2x$$

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_n - \frac{(x_n^2 - N)}{2x_n} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(x_n + \frac{N}{x_n}\right), n = 0,1,2, \dots$$

6. Write down the Lagrange's interpolation formula.

Solution: The Lagrange's interpolation formula is

$$y(x) = \frac{(x - x_1)(x - x_2) \dots (x - x_n)}{(x_0 - x_1)(x_0 - x_2) \dots (x_0 - x_n)} y_0 + \frac{(x - x_0)(x - x_2) \dots (x - x_n)}{(x_1 - x_0)(x_1 - x_2) \dots (x_1 - x_n)} y_1 + \frac{(x - x_0)(x - x_1) \dots (x - x_n)}{(x_2 - x_0)(x_2 - x_1) \dots (x_2 - x_n)} y_2 + \dots$$

8. Write down Simpson's $\frac{1}{3}$ rule in numerical integration.

Solution:

If (x_i, y_i) $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ where $x_i = x_0 + ih$, then

Simpson's $\frac{1}{3}$ rule :

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_n} y \, dx = \frac{h}{3} [(y_0 + y_n) + 4(y_1 + y_3 + y_5 + \dots) + 2(y_2 + y_4 + y_6 + \dots)]$$

9. Using Euler's method, solve the following differential equation $y' = -y$ subject to $y(0) = 1$.

Answer :

The Euler's formula is $y_{n+1}(x_n + h) = y_n + hf(x_n, y_n)$

$$y_1 = 1 + 0.1[-1] = 1 - 0.1 \Rightarrow y(0.1) = 0.99$$

10. Write down the Milne's Predictor-Corrector formula for solving initial value problem in first order differential equation.

Solution:

$$\text{Predictor : } y_{n+1}^p = y_{n-3} + \frac{4h}{3} [2y'_{n-2} - y'_{n-1} + 2y'_n]$$

$$\text{Corrector : } y_{n+1}^c = y_{n-1} + \frac{h}{3} [y'_{n-2} + 4y'_{n-1} + y'_{n+1}]$$

Part-B

11. a. (i). A sample of 900 members has a mean 3.4 cm and standard deviation 2.61 cm. Is the sample from a large population of mean 3.25 cms and standard deviation of 2.61 cms? (Test at 5% level of significance. The value of z at 5% level is $|z_\alpha| = 1.96$)

Solution:

Given that $n = 900$, $\bar{x} = 3.4$, $s = 2.61$ and $\mu = 3.25$ $\sigma = 2.61$

Null Hypothesis : $H_0: \mu = 3.25$ i.e., there is no difference b/w sample mean and pop mean.

Alternative Hypothesis : $H_1: \mu \neq 3.25$ (Two tailed alternative)

The test statistic is given by

$$z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{3.4 - 3.25}{\frac{2.61}{\sqrt{900}}} = 1.724$$

$$\therefore z = 1.724 \quad [\text{Calculated value}]$$

At 5% significance level the tabulated value for z_α is 1.96.

$|\text{Calculated value}| \leq \text{Tabulated value}$ then Accept H_0

But $|1.724| < 1.96$ So we Accept H_0

Conclusion:

$|z| < z_\alpha$, we accept Null Hypothesis. That is there is no significant difference between the sample mean and population means.

11. (a). (ii). Before an increase in excise duty on tea, 800 persons out of a sample of 1000 persons were found to be tea drinkers. After an increase in duty, 800 people were tea drinkers in a sample of 1200 people. State whether there is a significant decrease in the consumption of tea after the increase in excise duty?

Solution:

Given that $n_1 = 1000$, $x_1 = 800$ and $n_2 = 1200$, $x_2 = 800$

$$p_1 = \text{Prop of tea drinkers before excise} \quad p_1 = \frac{x_1}{n_1} = \frac{800}{1000} = 0.80 \quad \& \quad q_1 = 0.20$$

$$p_2 = \text{Prop of tea drinkers after excise} \quad p_2 = \frac{x_2}{n_2} = \frac{800}{1200} = 0.677 \quad \& \quad q_2 = 0.33$$

Null Hypothesis:

$$H_0 : P_1 = P_2 \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \text{there is no difference before \& after excise}$$

Alternative hypothesis:

$$H_1 : P_1 > P_2 \quad (\text{Right Tailed})$$

Here Population Proportion P is not known.

$$\therefore P = \frac{n_1 p_1 + n_2 p_2}{n_1 + n_2}$$

$$P = \frac{0.8 * 1000 + 0.67 * 1200}{1000 + 1200} = 0.729$$

$$\therefore P = 0.729 \quad \text{and} \quad Q = 0.271$$

$$\text{The test statistic is given by } z = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{\sqrt{PQ \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)}}$$

$$z = \frac{0.8 - 0.67}{\sqrt{[0.729 * 0.271] \left(\frac{1}{1000} + \frac{1}{1200} \right)}} = \frac{0.13}{\sqrt{[0.198](0.00183)}} = \frac{0.13}{0.0191}$$

$$z = 6.8 \quad \text{app}$$

At 5% level of significance, the table value for $z_\alpha = 1.645$.

$$|\text{calculated value}| \leq \text{tabulated value} \Rightarrow \text{Accept } H_0$$

$$|6.8| > 1.645 \Rightarrow \text{Reject } H_0$$

Conclusion: We reject the null hypothesis. That is there is a significant difference in the consumption of tea before and after inverse in excise duty.

11. b. (i). Out of 8000 graduates in a town 800 are females; out of 1600 graduate employees 120 are females. Use χ^2 to determine if any distinction is made in appointment on the basis of sex. Value of χ^2 at 5% LOS with one degree of freedom is 3.84.

Solution:

The given information can be in tabular form

| | Employment | Unemployment | Total |
|---------|------------|--------------|-------|
| Males | 1480 | 5720 | 7200 |
| Females | 120 | 680 | 800 |
| Total | 1600 | 6400 | 8000 |

Let us take the null hypothesis that no distinction is made in appointment on the basis of sex.

Applying χ^2 test

$$E_{11} = \frac{7200}{8000} * 1600 = 1440$$

The table of expected frequencies is given below:

| | | |
|------|------|------|
| 1440 | 5760 | 7200 |
| 160 | 640 | 800 |
| 1600 | 6400 | 8000 |

| Observed freq | Expected freq | $(O_i - E_i)^2$ | $\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$ |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| 1480 | 1440 | 1600 | 1.111 |
| 120 | 160 | 1600 | 10.00 |
| 5720 | 5760 | 1600 | 0.278 |
| 680 | 640 | 1600 | 2.500 |
| | | | 13.889 |

$$\chi^2 = 13.889$$

Table value of $\chi^2_{0.05}$ with $(n - 1)(r - 1) = (2 - 1)(2 - 1) = 1$ d.o.f is 3.84.

Conclusion: Since $\chi^2 > \chi^2_{0.05}$, we reject H_0 . That is some distinction is made in appointment on the basis of sex.

11. b. (ii). An automobile company gives you the following information about age groups and the liking for particular model of car which it plans to introduce. On the basis of this data can it be concluded that the model appeal is independent of the age group.

$$(\chi^2_{0.05} = 7.815)$$

| Persons who: | Below 20 | 20-39 | 40-59 | 60 and above |
|-------------------|----------|-------|-------|--------------|
| Liked the car: | 140 | 80 | 40 | 20 |
| Disliked the car: | 60 | 50 | 30 | 80 |

Solution:

| Persons who: | Below 20 | 20-39 | 40-59 | 60 and above | |
|-------------------|----------|-------|-------|--------------|-----|
| Liked the car: | 140 | 80 | 40 | 20 | 280 |
| Disliked the car: | 60 | 50 | 30 | 80 | 220 |
| | 200 | 130 | 70 | 100 | 500 |

| Observed freq | Expected freq | $(O_i - E_i)$ | $\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$ |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| 140 | 112 | 28 | 7 |
| 80 | 72.8 | 7.2 | 0.712 |
| 40 | 39.2 | 0.8 | 0.016 |
| 20 | 56 | -36 | 23.14 |
| 60 | 88 | -28 | 8.909 |
| 50 | 57.2 | -7.2 | 0.906 |
| 30 | 30.8 | -0.8 | 0.02 |
| 80 | 44 | 36 | 29.45 |
| | | | 70.154 |

$$\chi^2 = 70.154$$

Table value of $\chi^2_{0.05}$ with $(n - 1)(r - 1) = (4 - 1)(2 - 1) = 3$ d.o.f is 7.815.

Conclusion:

Since $\chi^2 > \chi^2_{0.05}$, we reject null hypothesis.

12. (a). A set of data involving four tropical feed stuffs A, B, C, D tried on 20 chicks is given below. All the twenty chicks are treated alike in all respects except the feeding treatments and each of the feeding treatment is given to 5 chicks. Analyze the data.

Weight gain of baby chicks fed on different feeding materials composed of tropical feed stuffs.

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|----------------------------|
| | | | | | | <i>Total T_i</i> |
| A | 55 | 49 | 42 | 21 | 52 | 219 |
| B | 61 | 112 | 30 | 89 | 63 | 355 |
| C | 42 | 97 | 81 | 95 | 92 | 407 |
| D | 169 | 137 | 169 | 85 | 154 | 714 |
| Grand Total | | | | | | G=1695 |

Solution:

H₀: There is no significant difference between rows and columns.

To simplify calculation let us subtract 50 from each value, the new values are

| | | | | | | |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| Salesmen | | | | | | Total |
| A | 5 | -1 | -8 | -29 | 2 | -31 |
| B | 11 | 62 | -20 | 39 | 13 | 105 |
| C | -8 | 47 | 31 | 45 | 42 | 157 |
| D | 119 | 87 | 119 | 35 | 104 | 464 |
| Total | 127 | 195 | 122 | 90 | 161 | 695 |

$$\text{Correction factor} = C.F = \frac{G^2}{N} = \frac{(695)^2}{20} = 24151.25$$

$$SST = \text{Total sum of squares} = \{ \quad \} - C.F$$

$$= \left[(5)^2 + (-1)^2 + (-8)^2 + (-29)^2 + (2)^2 + (11)^2 + (62)^2 + (-20)^2 + (39)^2 + (13)^2 + (-8)^2 + (47)^2 + (31)^2 + (45)^2 + (42)^2 + (119)^2 + (87)^2 + (119)^2 + (35)^2 + (104)^2 \right] - 24151.25$$

$$= 37793.75$$

Between Column sum of squares

$$SSC = \frac{(\sum x_1)^2}{n_1} + \frac{(\sum x_2)^2}{n_1} + \frac{(\sum x_3)^2}{n_1} + \frac{(\sum x_4)^2}{n_1} + \frac{(\sum x_5)^2}{n_1} - C.F$$

$$SSC = \frac{(127)^2}{4} + \frac{(195)^2}{4} + \frac{(122)^2}{4} + \frac{(90)^2}{4} + \frac{(161)^2}{4} - 24151.25 = 1613.5$$

Between Row sum of squares

$$SSC = \frac{(\sum y_1)^2}{m_1} + \frac{(\sum y_2)^2}{m_1} + \frac{(\sum y_3)^2}{m_1} + \frac{(\sum y_4)^2}{m_1} - C.F$$

$$R_2 = \frac{(-31)^2}{5} + \frac{(105)^2}{5} + \frac{(157)^2}{5} + \frac{(464)^2}{5} - 24151.25 = 26234.95$$

Error sum of squares

$$SSE = SST - SSR - SSC = 37793.75 - 1613.50 - 26234.95 = 9945.3$$

Degrees of freedom: $v_1 = c - 1 = 5 - 1 = 4$, $v_2 = r - 1 = 4 - 1 = 3$, $v_3 = v_1 * v_2 = 12$

| ANOVA table for two-way classification | | | | |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Source of variation | Degrees of freedom | Sum of squares (SS) | Mean sum of squares (MS) | Variance Ratio (F-Ratio) |
| B/W Column | $5 - 1 = 4$ | SSC=1613.5 | $MSC = \frac{SSC}{v_1} = 403.37$ | $F_1 = \frac{MSE}{MSC} = 2.055$ |
| B/W Row | $4 - 1 = 3$ | SSR=26234.95 | $MSR = \frac{SSR}{v_2} = 8744.98$ | $F_2 = \frac{MSR}{MSE} = 10.55$ |
| Error | $4 * 3 = 12$ | SSE=9945.3 | $MSE = \frac{SSE}{v_3} = 828.775$ | |

$$F_{0.05}(12, 4) = 5.91 \quad \text{and} \quad F_{0.05}(3, 12) = 8.74$$

Conclusion:

- $F_1 < F_{0.05}(12, 4)$. Hence we accept the null hypothesis. That is there is no difference between columns.
- $F_2 < F_{0.05}(3, 12)$. Hence we reject the null hypothesis. That is there is some difference between Rows.

12. (b). An experiment was planned to study the effect of sulphate of potash and super phosphate on the yield of potatoes. All the combinations of 2 levels of super phosphate and two levels of sulphate of potash were studied in a randomized block design with 4 replications for each.

The yields (per plot) obtained are given in the following table. Analyse the data and give your conclusions.

| Block | Yields (l_b per plot) | | | |
|-------|--------------------------|-----|----|-----|
| I | (1) | K | P | KP |
| | 23 | 25 | 22 | 38 |
| II | P | (1) | K | KP |
| | 40 | 26 | 36 | 38 |
| III | (1) | K | KP | P |
| | 29 | 20 | 30 | 20 |
| IV | KP | K | P | (1) |
| | 34 | 31 | 24 | 28 |

Analyse the data and comment on your finds.

Solution:

| Treatment Combination | Blocks | | | |
|-----------------------|--------|----|-----|----|
| | I | II | III | IV |
| (1) | 23 | 26 | 29 | 28 |
| k | 25 | 36 | 20 | 31 |
| p | 22 | 40 | 20 | 24 |
| kp | 38 | 38 | 30 | 34 |

Subtract 29 from each value, we get

| Treatment Combination | Blocks | | | | Total |
|-----------------------|--------|----|-----|----|-------|
| | I | II | III | IV | |
| (1) | -6 | -3 | 0 | -1 | -10 |
| k | -4 | 7 | -9 | 2 | -4 |
| p | -7 | 11 | -9 | -5 | -10 |
| kp | 9 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 24 |
| Total | -8 | 24 | -17 | 1 | 0 |

H_0 : the data is homogeneous w.r.t to the blocks and treatments. $N = 4 * 4 = 16$

$$\text{Correction factor} = C.F = \frac{G^2}{N} = \frac{(20)^2}{20} = \frac{0^2}{16} = 0$$

$$SST = \text{Total sum of squares} = \sum_i \sum_j y_{ij}^2 - C.F = 660 - 0 = 660$$

Between Columns sum of squares

$$R_1 = \frac{(8)^2}{4} + \frac{(24)^2}{4} + \frac{(-17)^2}{4} + \frac{(1)^2}{4} - 0 = 232.5$$

Between Row sum of squares

$$R_2 = \frac{(-10)^2}{4} + \frac{(-4)^2}{4} + \frac{(-10)^2}{4} + \frac{(24)^2}{4} - 0 = 198$$

Error sum of squares

$$R_3 = SST - SSC - SSR = 660 - 232.5 - 198 = 229.5$$

Treatment total:

$$[k] = [kp] - [p] + [k] - [1] = 24 - (-10) + (-4) + 10 = 40$$

$$[p] = [kp] + [p] - [k] - [1] = 24 + (-10) + (-4) + 10 = 28$$

$$[kp] = [kp] - [k] - [p] + [1] = 24 - (-10) - (-4) - 10 = 28$$

$$S_k = \frac{[40]^2}{4r} = \frac{1600}{4 * 4} = 100 \quad S_p = \frac{[28]^2}{4r} = \frac{784}{4 * 4} = 49 \quad S_{kp} = \frac{[28]^2}{4r} = \frac{784}{4 * 4} = 49$$

| Source of variation | Degrees of freedom | Sum of squares (SS) | Mean sum of squares (MS) | F-Ratio | Table value |
|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| K | 1 | $S_k = 100$ | $MS_k = 100$ | $F_k = 10.56$ | $F_{0.05}(4,12) = 3.26$ |
| P | 1 | $S_p = 49$ | $MS_p = 49$ | $F_p = 10.56$ | $F_{0.05}(4,12) = 3.26$ |
| Kp | 1 | $S_{kp} = 49$ | $MS_{kp} = 49$ | $F_{kp} = 10.56$ | $F_{0.05}(4,12) = 3.26$ |
| Error | $N-C-r+1$ $=16-4-4+1=9$ | $SSE = 229.5$ | $MSE = \frac{229.5}{9} = 25.5$ | | |

Conclusion: Calculated value is less than table value in all cases, we conclude that there is no significant difference between effects of treatments.

13. (a). (i). Find the inverse of the matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & -3 \\ -2 & -4 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$

Solution:

We know that $[A, I] = [I, A^{-1}]$

$$\text{Now, } [A, I] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & \vdots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & -3 & \vdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & -4 & -4 & \vdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now, we need to make $[A, I]$ as a diagonal matrix.

Fix the first row, change second and third row by using first row.

$$[A, I] \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & \vdots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -6 & \vdots & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & \vdots & 2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} R_2 \Leftrightarrow R_2 - R_1 \\ R_3 \Leftrightarrow R_3 + 2R_1 \end{array}$$

Fix the first row & second row, change third row by using second row.

$$[A, I] \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & \vdots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -6 & \vdots & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & \vdots & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad R_3 \Leftrightarrow R_3 + R_2$$

Fix the third row, change first and second row by using third row.

$$[A, I] \sim \begin{bmatrix} -4 & -4 & 0 & \vdots & -7 & -3 & -3 \\ 0 & -8 & 0 & \vdots & 10 & 2 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & \vdots & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} R_1 \Leftrightarrow -4R_1 - 3R_3 \\ R_2 \Leftrightarrow -4R_2 + 6R_3 \end{array}$$

Fix the second & third row, change first by using second row.

$$[A, I] \sim \begin{bmatrix} 32 & 0 & 0 & \vdots & 96 & 32 & 48 \\ 0 & -8 & 0 & \vdots & 10 & 2 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & \vdots & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad R_1 \Leftrightarrow -8R_1 + 4R_2$$

$$[A, I] \sim \begin{bmatrix} 32 & 0 & 0 & \vdots & \frac{96}{-8} & \frac{32}{-8} & \frac{48}{-8} \\ 0 & -8 & 0 & \vdots & \frac{10}{-8} & \frac{2}{-8} & \frac{6}{-8} \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & \vdots & \frac{-8}{-4} & \frac{-8}{-4} & \frac{-8}{-4} \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} R_1 \Leftrightarrow R_1 / 32 \\ R_2 \Leftrightarrow R_2 / -8 \\ R_3 \Leftrightarrow R_3 / -4 \end{array}$$

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & \frac{3}{2} \\ -\frac{5}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{3}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}$$

13. (a). (ii) Solve the system of equations by Gauss elimination method:

$$2x + y + z = 10, \quad 3x + 2y + 3z = 18, \quad x + 4y + 9z = 16.$$

Solution:

(i). Gauss elimination method:

$$\text{Let the given system of equations be } 2x + y + z = 10$$

$$3x + 2y + 3z = 18$$

$$x + 4y + 9z = 16$$

The given system is equivalent to $AX = B$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 18 \\ 16 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Here } [A, B] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 10 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 & 18 \\ 1 & 4 & 9 & 16 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now, we need to make A as a upper triangular matrix.

Fix the first row, change second and third row by using first row.

$$[A, B] \sim \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 10 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 7 & 17 & 22 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} R_2 \Leftrightarrow 2R_2 - 3R_1 \\ R_3 \Leftrightarrow 2R_3 - R_1 \end{array}$$

Fix the first & second row, change the third row by using second row.

$$[A, B] \sim \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 10 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & -20 \end{bmatrix} \quad R_3 \Leftrightarrow R_3 - 7R_2$$

This is an upper triangular matrix. From the above matrix we have

$$-4z = -20 \Rightarrow z = 5$$

$$y + 3z = 6 \Rightarrow y + 3(5) = 6$$

$$\Rightarrow y = 6 - 15 \Rightarrow y = -9$$

$$\Rightarrow y = -9$$

$$2x + y + z = 10$$

$$\Rightarrow 2x - 9 + 5 = 10 \Rightarrow 2x = 10 + 9 - 5 = 14$$

$$\Rightarrow x = 7$$

Hence the solution is $x = 7$, $y = -9$ and $z = 5$

13. (b). (ii). Solve the following system of equations by Gauss-Siedal method of Iteration.

$$5x - y + z = 10, \quad 2x + 4y = 12, \quad x + y + 5z = -1.$$

Solution:

$$5x - y + z = 10$$

$$2x + 4y + 0z = 12$$

$$x + y + 5z = -1$$

Since the diagonal elements are dominant in the coefficient matrix, we rewrite x, y, z as follows

$$x = \frac{1}{5}(10 + y - z)$$

$$y = \frac{1}{4}(12 - 2x)$$

$$z = \frac{1}{5}(-1 - x - y)$$

Gauss Siedal Method:

We form the Iterations in the table

| <i>Iteration</i> | <i>x</i> | <i>y</i> | <i>z</i> |
|------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1 | 2 | 2 | -1 |
| 2 | 2.6 | 1.7 | -1.06 |
| 3 | 2.552 | 1.724 | -1.0552 |
| 4 | 2.5558 | 1.722 | -1.0556 |
| 5 | 2.5556 | 1.722 | -1.0556 |
| 6 | 2.5556 | 1.722 | -1.0556 |

Hence the solution is $x = 2.556$, $y = 1.722$ and $z = -1.0556$.

13. (b). (ii) Determine the largest Eigen value and the corresponding Eigen vector of the matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ with initial vector $X^{(0)} = [1 \ 1 \ 1]^T$.

Solution: Let $X_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ be the initial vector.

Therefore,

$$A X_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = 8 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.375 \\ 0.375 \end{bmatrix} = 8 X_2$$

$$A X_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.375 \\ 0.375 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3.625 \\ 1.75 \\ 1.125 \end{bmatrix} = 3.625 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.483 \\ 0.310 \end{bmatrix} = 3.625 X_3$$

$$A X_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.483 \\ 0.310 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4.028 \\ 1.966 \\ 0.930 \end{bmatrix} = 4.028 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.467 \\ 0.221 \end{bmatrix} = 4.028 X_4$$

$$A X_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.467 \\ 0.221 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4.023 \\ 1.934 \\ 0.663 \end{bmatrix} = 4.023 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.481 \\ 0.165 \end{bmatrix} = 4.023 X_5$$

$$A X_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.481 \\ 0.165 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4.051 \\ 1.962 \\ 0.495 \end{bmatrix} = 4.051 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.484 \\ 0.122 \end{bmatrix} = 4.051 X_6$$

$$A X_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.484 \\ 0.122 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4.026 \\ 1.968 \\ 0.366 \end{bmatrix} = 4.026 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.488 \\ 0.0909 \end{bmatrix} = 4.026 X_7$$

$$A X_7 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.488 \\ 0.0909 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4.019 \\ 1.976 \\ 0.2727 \end{bmatrix} = 4.019 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.492 \\ 0.068 \end{bmatrix} = 4.02 X_8$$

∴ The dominant Eigen value = 4.02.

Corresponding Eigen vector is $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.492 \\ 0.068 \end{bmatrix}$.

14. (A). (i). Use Newton's divided difference formula to calculate $f(3)$, $f'(3)$ and $f''(3)$ by from the following table.

| | | | | | | |
|----------|---|----|----|---|---|----|
| $x :$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| $f(x) :$ | 1 | 14 | 15 | 5 | 6 | 19 |

Solution:

| x | $f(x)$ | $\Delta f(x)$ | $\Delta^2 f(x)$ | $\Delta^3 f(x)$ | $\Delta^4 f(x)$ |
|-----|--------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 0 | 1 | $\frac{14-1}{1-0} = 13$ | $\frac{1-13}{2-0} = -6$ | $\frac{-2+6}{4-0} = 1$ | |
| 1 | 14 | $\frac{15-14}{2-1} = 1$ | $\frac{-5-1}{4-1} = -2$ | $\frac{2+2}{5-1} = 1$ | 0 |
| 2 | 15 | $\frac{5-15}{4-2} = -5$ | $\frac{1+5}{5-2} = 2$ | $\frac{6-2}{6-2} = 1$ | 0 |

| | | | | | |
|---|----|-------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 4 | 5 | $\frac{6-5}{5-4} = 1$ | $\frac{13-1}{6-4}$ | | |
| 5 | 6 | $\frac{19-6}{6-5} = 13$ | | | |
| 6 | 19 | | | | |

By Newton's divided difference interpolation formula

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + (x - x_0)f(x_0, x_1) + (x - x_0)(x - x_1)f(x_0, x_1, x_2) + (x - x_0)(x - x_1)(x - x_2)f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) + (x - x_0)(x - x_1)(x - x_2)(x - x_3)f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$$

Here $x_0 = 0, x_1 = 1, x_2 = 2, x_3 = 4, x_4 = 5$ and

$$f(x_0) = 1, f(x_0, x_1) = 13, f(x_0, x_1, x_2) = -6, f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = 1, f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = 0$$

$$\therefore f(x) = 1 + (x - 0)(13) + (x - 0)(x - 1)(-6) + (x - 0)(x - 1)(x - 2)(1) + (x - 0)(x - 1)(x - 2)(x - 4)(0) + 0$$

$$f(x) = 1 + 13x + (x^2 - x)(-6) + x(x - 1)(x - 2)$$

$$f(x) = 1 + 13x - 6x^2 + 6x + x(x^2 - 3x + 2)$$

$$f(x) = 1 + 13x - 6x^2 + 6x + x^3 - 3x^2 + 2x$$

$$f(x) = x^3 - 9x^2 + 22x + 1 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\therefore f(3) = (3)^3 - 9(3)^2 + 22(3) + 1$$

$$f(3) = 10$$

$$f(x) = x^3 - 9x^2 + 22x + 1 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$f'(x) = 3x^2 - 18x + 22 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f'(3) = 3(3)^2 - 18(3) + 22 = -5$$

$$f''(x) = 6x - 18 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f''(3) = 6(3) - 18 = 0$$

14. (a). (ii) From the following table of values of x and y , obtain $\frac{dy}{dx}$ and $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$ for y at $x = 1.2$

| | | | | | | | |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| $x :$ | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.2 |
| $y :$ | 2.7183 | 3.3201 | 4.0552 | 4.9530 | 60.496 | 7.3891 | 9.025 |

Solution:

| x | y | Δy | $\Delta^2 y$ | $\Delta^3 y$ | $\Delta^4 y$ |
|------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|
| 1.0 | 2.7183 | 0.6018 | 0.1333 | | |
| 1.2 | 3.3201 | 0.7351 | 0.16273 | 0.0294 | 0.0067 |
| 1.4 | 4.0552 | 0.8978 | 0.1988 | 0.0361 | 0.008 |
| 1.6 | 4.9530 | 1.0966 | 0.2429 | 0.0441 | 0.0094 |
| 1.8 | 6.0496 | 1.3395 | 0.2964 | 0.0535 | |

| | | | | | |
|-----|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| 2.0 | 7.3891 | 1.6359 | | | |
| 2.2 | 9.0250 | | | | |

To find y at $x = 1.2$, choose $x = x_0$. Here $h = 0.2$

We know that

$$\left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)_{x=x_0} = \frac{1}{h} \left[\Delta y_0 - \frac{\Delta^2 y_0}{2} + \frac{\Delta^3 y_0}{3} - \frac{\Delta^4 y_0}{4} + \dots \right] \text{ when } x = x_0$$

$$\left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)_{x=1.5} = \frac{1}{0.2} \left[0.7351 - \frac{(0.1627)}{2} + \frac{0.0361}{3} - \frac{0.008}{4} \right]$$

$$\left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)_{x=1.5} = 3.320$$

$$\text{And } \left(\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}\right)_{x=x_0} = \frac{1}{h^2} \left[\Delta^2 y_0 - \Delta^3 y_0 + \frac{11}{12} \Delta^4 y_0 + \dots \right] \text{ when } x = x_0$$

$$\left(\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}\right)_{x=x_0} = \frac{1}{0.2^2} \left[0.1627 - 0.0361 + \frac{11}{12}(0.008) \right]$$

$$\left(\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}\right)_{x=x_0} = 3.348$$

14. (b). (i) A rocket is launched from the ground. Its acceleration is registered during the first 80 seconds and is in the table below. Using trapezoidal rule and Simpson's $\frac{1}{3}$ rule, find the velocity of the rocket at $t = 80$ sec.

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| t (sec) | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 |
| f: (cm/sec) | 30 | 31.63 | 33.34 | 35.47 | 37.75 | 40.33 | 43.25 | 46.69 | 40.67 |

Solution:

$$\text{Acceleration } (A) = \frac{d^2f}{dt^2}$$

$$\text{Velocity } V = \int_0^{80} A dt$$

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| t (sec) | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 |
| f: (cm/sec) | 30 | 31.63 | 33.34 | 35.47 | 37.75 | 40.33 | 43.25 | 46.69 | 40.67 |

Trapezoidal rule

$$V = \int_0^{80} A dt = \frac{h}{2} [(y_0 + y_8) + 2(y_1 + y_2 + y_3 + y_4 + y_5 + y_6 + y_7)]$$

$$= \frac{10}{2} [(30 + 40.67) + 2(31.63 + 33.34 + 35.47 + 37.75 + 40.33 + 43.25 + 46.69)]$$

$$V = 3037.95 \text{ cm/sec.}$$

Simpson's 1/3 rule :

$$V = \int_0^{80} A dt = \frac{h}{3} [(y_0 + y_8) + 4(y_1 + y_3 + y_5 + y_7) + 2(y_2 + y_4 + y_6)]$$

$$= \frac{10}{3} [(30 + 40.67) + 4(33.34 + 37.75 + 43.25) + 2(31.63 + 35.47 + 40.33 + 46.69)]$$

$$V = 3052.766 \text{ cm/sec.}$$

15. (a). (i). Using 4th order Runge-Kutta method, solve $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{y^2 - x^2}{y^2 + x^2}$, $y(0) = 1$ for $x = 0.2$ and $x = 0.4$ with $h = 0.2$.

Solution:

$$\text{Given } \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{y^2 - x^2}{y^2 + x^2} = f(x, y), \quad y(0) = 1 \Rightarrow x_0 = 0, \quad y_0 = 1, \quad h = 0.2$$

$$\text{To find } y(0.2): \quad \left[x_0 = 0.0, \quad y_0 = 1, \quad h = 0.2, \quad f(x, y) = \frac{y^2 - x^2}{y^2 + x^2} \right]$$

$$k_1 = h f(x_0, y_0) = 0.2 f(0, 1) = 0.2 \left[\frac{(1)^2 - (0)^2}{(1)^2 + (0)^2} \right] = 0.2[1]$$

$$k_1 = 0.2$$

$$k_2 = 0.2 f\left(0 + \frac{0.2}{2}, 1 + \frac{0.2}{2}\right) = 0.2 f(0.1, 1.1)$$

$$k_2 = 0.1967213$$

$$k_3 = 0.2 f\left(0 + \frac{0.2}{2}, 1 + \frac{0.1967213}{2}\right) = 0.2 f(0.1, 1.0983606)$$

$$k_3 = 0.1967$$

$$k_4 = h f(x_0 + h, y_0 + k_3) = 0.2 f(0 + 0.2, 1 + 0.1967) \quad k_4 = 0.1891$$

$$\Delta y = \frac{1}{6}(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4) = \frac{1}{6}(0.2 + 2(0.1967213) + 2(0.1967) + 0.1891)$$

$$\Delta y = 0.19598$$

$$y_1(x_0 + h) = y(x_0) + \Delta y \Rightarrow y(0.0 + 0.2) = y(0) + 0.19598 = 1 + 0.19598$$

$$y(0.2) = 0.19598 \quad [y(x_1) = y_1]$$

$$\text{To find } y(0.4): \quad \left[x_1 = 0.2, \quad y_1 = 0.19598, \quad h = 0.2, \quad f(x, y) = \frac{y^2 - x^2}{y^2 + x^2} \right]$$

$$k_1 = h f(x_1, y_1) = 0.2 f(0.2, 0.19598)$$

$$k_1 = 0.1891$$

$$k_2 = h f\left(x_1 + \frac{h}{2}, y_1 + \frac{k_1}{2}\right) = 0.2 f\left(0.2 + \frac{0.2}{2}, 0.19598 + \frac{0.1891}{2}\right) = 0.2 f(0.3, 1.29055)$$

$$k_2 = 0.17949$$

$$k_3 = h f\left(x_1 + \frac{h}{2}, y_1 + \frac{k_2}{2}\right) = 0.2 f\left(0.2 + \frac{0.2}{2}, 0.19598 + \frac{0.17949}{2}\right) = 0.2 f(0.3, 1.28572)$$

$$k_3 = 0.1793$$

$$k_4 = h f(x_1 + h, y_1 + k_3) = 0.2 f(0.2 + 0.2, 0.19598 + 0.1793) = 0.2 f(0.4, 1.37528)$$

$$k_4 = 0.1687$$

$$k_4 = 0.6111$$

$$\Delta y = \frac{1}{6}(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4) = \frac{1}{6}(0.1891 + 2(0.17949) + 2(0.1793) + 0.1687)$$

$$\Delta y = 0.1792$$

$$y_2(x_1 + h) = y(x_1) + \Delta y \Rightarrow y(0.2 + 0.2) = y(0.2) + 0.1792 = 1.19598 + 0.1792$$

$$y(0.4) = 1.3751$$

15. (a). (ii). Given $\frac{dy}{dx} = 1 + y^2$, where $x = 0$, find $y(0.2)$, $y(0.4)$ and $y(0.6)$ using Taylor's series method.

Solution:

$$\text{Given } \frac{dy}{dx} = 1 + y^2 \quad \& \quad x_0 = 0, \quad y_0 = 0$$

Taylor series formula is

$$y(x) = y_0 + \frac{(x-x_0)}{1!} y'_0 + \frac{(x-x_0)^2}{2!} y''_0 + \frac{(x-x_0)^3}{3!} y'''_0 + \frac{(x-x_0)^4}{4!} y^{iv}_0 + \dots \dots (1)$$

| | | |
|--|--|----------------|
| $y' = 1 + y^2$ | $y'_0 = 1 + y_0^2 = 1 + 0$ | $y'_0 = 1$ |
| $y'' = 0 + 2y y'$ | $y''_0 = 2y_0 y'_0 = 2(0)(1)$ | $y''_0 = 0$ |
| $y''' = 2y y'' + 2y' y'$ $y''' = 2y y'' + 2(y')^2$ | $y'''_0 = 2y_0 y''_0 + 2(y'_0)^2$ $= 2(0)0 + 2(1)^2$ | $y'''_0 = 2$ |
| $y^{iv} = 2y y''' + 2y' y'' + 4y' y''$ $y^{iv} = 2y y''' + 6y' y''$ | $y^{iv}_0 = 2y_0 y'''_0 + 6y'_0 y''_0$ $= 2(0)2 + 6(1)0$ | $y^{iv}_0 = 0$ |
| $y^v = 2y y^{iv} + 2y''' y' + 6y' y'''$ $+ 6y'' y''$ | $y^v_0 = 2y_0 y^{iv}_0 + 8y'_0 y'''_0 + 6y''_0{}^2$ $= 0 + 8(2)(1) + 0$ | $y^v_0 = 16$ |

Therefore equation (1) becomes,

$$y(x) = y_0 + \frac{(x-x_0)}{1!} y'_0 + \frac{(x-x_0)^2}{2!} y''_0 + \frac{(x-x_0)^3}{3!} y'''_0 + \frac{(x-x_0)^4}{4!} y^{iv}_0 + \frac{(x-x_0)^5}{5!} y^v_0$$

$$= 0 + \frac{(x-0)}{1} (1) + \frac{(x-0)^2}{2} (0) + \frac{(x-0)^3}{6} (2) + \frac{(x-0)^4}{24} (0) + \frac{(x-x_0)^5}{5!} 16$$

$$y(x) = x + \frac{x^3}{6} (2) + \frac{x^5}{120} (16)$$

To find y at $x = 0.2$

$$\therefore y(0.2) = 0.2 + \frac{(0.2)^3}{6} (2) + \frac{(0.2)^5}{120} (16)$$

$$\therefore y(0.2) = 0.2027$$

To find y at $x = 0.4$

$$\therefore y(0.4) = 0.4 + \frac{(0.4)^3}{6} (2) + \frac{(0.4)^5}{120} (16)$$

$$y(0.4) = 0.42269$$

To find y at $x = 0.6$

$$\therefore y(0.6) = 0.6 + \frac{(0.6)^3}{6} (2) + \frac{(0.6)^5}{120} (16)$$

$$y(0.6) = 0.6824$$

15. (b). (i) Given $\frac{dy}{dx} = x^2(1+y)$, $y(1) = 1, y(1.1) = 1.233$, $y(1.2) = 1.548$ and $y(1.3) = 1.979$, evaluate $y(1.4)$ by using Adam-Bashforth predictor corrector method Method.

Solution: Given

$$y' = f(x, y) = \frac{dy}{dx} = x^2(1+y)$$

| | | | |
|------------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|
| $y(1) = 1$ | $y(x_0) = y_0$ | $x_0 = 1$ | $y_0 = 1$ |
| $y(1.1) = 1.233$ | $y(x_1) = y_1$ | $x_1 = 1.1$ | $y_1 = 1.233$ |
| $y(1.2) = 1.548$ | $y(x_2) = y_2$ | $x_2 = 1.2$ | $y_2 = 1.548$ |
| $y(1.3) = 1.979$ | $y(x_3) = y_3$ | $x_3 = 1.3$ | $y_3 = 1.979$ |

Here $h = 0.1$ and $n = 3$

The Adam's Predictor formula is

$$y_{n+1,P}(x_n + h) = y_n + \frac{h}{24} [55y'_n - 59y'_{n-1} + 37y'_{n-2} - 9y'_{n-3}] \dots \dots (1)$$

Put $n=3$ in equation (1), we have

$$y_{4,P}(x_3 + h) = y_3 + \frac{h}{24} [55y'_3 - 59y'_2 + 37y'_1 - 9y'_0] \dots \dots (2)$$

Given $y' = x^2(1 + y)$

| | | | | |
|-------------|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| $x_0 = 1$ | $y_0 = 1$ | $y'_0 = x_0^2(1 + y_0)$ | $y'_0 = (1)^2(1 + 1)$ | $y'_0 = 2$ |
| $x_1 = 1.1$ | $y_1 = 1.233$ | $y'_1 = x_1^2(1 + y_1)$ | $y'_1 = (1.1)^2(1 + 1.233)$ | $y'_1 = 2.70193$ |
| $x_2 = 1.2$ | $y_2 = 1.548$ | $y'_2 = x_2^2(1 + y_2)$ | $y'_2 = (1.2)^2(1 + 1.548)$ | $y'_2 = 3.66912$ |
| $x_3 = 1.3$ | $y_3 = 1.979$ | $y'_3 = x_3^2(1 + y_3)$ | $y'_3 = (1.3)^2(1 + 1.979)$ | $y'_3 = 2.0345$ |

Equation (2) becomes

$$y_{4,P}(1.3 + 0.1) = 1.979 + \frac{0.1}{24} [55(2.0345) - 59(3.66912) + 37(2.70193) - 9(2)]$$

$$y_{4,P}(1.4) = 1.979 + \frac{0.1}{24} [142.33683] = 1.979 + 0.593070$$

$$y_{4,P}(1.4) = 2.5721 \quad [y(x_4) = y_4, \quad x_4 = 1.4 \quad \& \quad y_4 = 2.5721]$$

The Adams's Corrector formula is

$$y_{n+1,C}(x_n + h) = y_n + \frac{h}{24} [9y'_{n+1} + 19y'_n - 5y'_{n-1} + y'_{n-2}] \dots \dots (3)$$

Put $n=3$ in equation (3), we have

$$y_{4,C}(x_3 + h) = y_3 + \frac{h}{24} [9y'_4 + 19y'_3 - 5y'_2 + y'_1] \dots \dots (4)$$

| | | | | |
|-------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| $x_4 = 1.4$ | $y_4 = 2.5721$ | $y'_4 = x_4^2(1 + y_4)$ | $y'_4 = (1.4)^2(1 + 1.2751)$ | $y'_4 = 7.7030716$ |
|-------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|

Equation (4) becomes

$$y_{4,C}(1.3 + 0.1) = 1.979 + \frac{0.1}{24} [9(7.7030716) + 19(5.0345) - 5(3.60912) + (2.70193)]$$

$$y_{4,C}(0.8) = 1.979 + \frac{0.1}{24} [143.58827] = 1.979 + 0.592844$$

$$y_{4,C}(0.8) = 2.57728 \quad [y(x_4) = y_4, \quad x_4 = 1.4 \quad \& \quad y_4 = 2.57728]$$

Result:

$$y_{4,P}(1.4) = 2.5721 \quad \& \quad y_{4,C}(1.4) = 2.5778$$

15. (b). (i). Using finite differences solve the boundary value problem

$$y'' + 3y' - 2y = 2x + 3, \quad y(0) = 2, \quad y(1) = 1 \quad \text{with } h = 0.2.$$

Solution:

| x_0 | x_1 | x_2 | x_3 | x_4 | x_5 |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1 |

$$y(0) = 2 \Rightarrow x_0 = 0, \quad y_0 = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad y(1) = 1 \Rightarrow x_5 = 1, \quad y_5 = 1, \quad h = 0.2$$

The given differential equation can be written as

$$y''(x) + 3y'(x) - 2y(x) = 2x + 3$$

Using the central difference approximation, we have

$$y'' = \frac{y_{i-1} + y_{i+1} - 2y_i}{h^2} \quad \text{and} \quad y' = \frac{y_{i+1} - y_{i-1}}{2h}$$

$$\left[\frac{y_{i-1} + y_{i+1} - 2y_i}{h^2} \right] + 3 \left[\frac{y_{i+1} - y_{i-1}}{2h} \right] - 2y_i = 2x_i + 3$$

$$[y_{i-1} + y_{i+1} - 2] + 3h[y_{i+1} - y_{i-1}] - 2h^2 y_i - 2h^2 x_i - 3h^2 = 0$$

$$y_{i+1}(1 + 3h) + y_{i-1}(1 - 3h) + y_i(-2h^2) = 2h^2 x_i + 3h^2 + 2 \quad \text{--- (A)}$$

Solving, we get

$$y_1 = 1.005, \quad y_2 = 0.6362, \quad y_3 = 0.5937, \quad y_4 = 0.7363$$